



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



IN THIS ISSUE

The Law	3
Climate and Smart Cities	4
Nuclear	4

Thought For The Week: "Those people who will not be governed by God will be ruled by tyrants." — William Penn

FORECLOSURE ON THE WORLD By Arnis Luks

I spent this week reading an introductory lawbook by Augusto Zimmerman (targeted for first-year university students). The title theme is *'The Foundations of the Australian Legal System, it's History, Theory and Practice'*. Zimmerman has entered into a deep discussion of civics and equity wrapped within the common, or Canon Law. The evolution of law is stated on p.5 : *'Property and law are born together and die together. Before laws were made there was no property; take away laws and property ceases'*.

I immediately thought of Klaus Schwab's telling comment *'you will own nothing and be happy'*. Freedom and property, especially the security of property are mutually inclusive. If you have no right to actually own and enjoy property, you cannot be free.

There are two definitions of the word 'equity' that we need to focus on this week. The first definition is: *The state or quality of being just and fair. Something that is just and fair. Justice achieved not simply according to the strict letter of the law but in accordance with principles of substantial justice and the unique facts of the case.*

The Vote

The Geoffrey Dobbs' article *'One Man One Vote'*, explores the general election of March 31, 1966, in Britain. With many obvious anomalies, Geoffrey illustrates the vagaries of the electoral process and the result being far from a just nor fair outcome: https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Dobbs%20G%20-%20One_Man_One_Vote.pdf

When I consider the intention, the purpose, the belief in the voting system as a form of equity between the governed and the government I achieve a sour taste in my mouth. The vote's purpose is to achieve a 'just' result. Directionally, the voting franchise is certainly an improvement from no representation of any kind. And yet the vote over the past 150 years has been expanded to all peoples over the age of 18 without achieving an equitable outcome on behalf of the citizenry. Equity is not apparent within the existing and manipulated voting franchise. We must look elsewhere. The political party machines (working collaboratively) have tied up the result for their mutual benefit. This is a really important thought when we consider Solzhenitsyn's words:

Democracy in the unarguable sense of the word means the rule of the people - that is, a system in which the people are truly in charge of their daily lives and can influence the course of their own historical fate. There is nothing of the sort in Russia today.

The people are truly not in charge of their daily lives and cannot influence the course of their own historical fate. Increasingly, world government in the form of the World Economic Forum, the World Health Organisation, the IPCC and the imposition of Transnational Treaties have usurped that power from the people to decide for themselves what they should and shouldn't participate in.

This essay's theme is not opposed to the mechanism of voting, rather it is highlighting the subversion of the mechanism and the failure to achieve an equitable result of representation. If we are to achieve equity within the voting mechanism, then the entire question of the vote mechanism needs to come into question. Dobbs' essay answers this challenge with the 'open and recorded vote', which minimises fraud and allows individuals to exercise their responsibility openly and publicly, similar to a public meeting with a show of hands. After all, you cannot expect our elites to be outwardly ethical while we hide under the cover of a secret vote for our own benefit.

Cui Bono - Who Benefits?

A very important concept to consider in this age of moral relativism is who benefits. In hindsight we see the fraud of vested interests during the imposition of lockstep/lockdown, however, if we are to achieve equity, this question of *'who benefits'* needs to be revealed beforehand of any policy being introduced.

As we look to world government incursions into our legal and political systems, this question of equity -

fairness repeatedly surfaces as the carbuncle on the body politic. Those businesses which were bankrupted through the lockdowns, those individuals adversely affected through isolation, those children emotionally and educationally-impacted with the imposition of masks and social distancing, and those millions of individuals adversely affected by the mRNA jabs, all need to find relief through the courts. However, the courts are also captured. This is where anarchy is prevalent, and individuals are not held to account for their actions. World Government is anarchy in action.

The likes of holding Bill Gates, Anthony Fauci or big Pharma to account are virtually impossible because our own Chief Medical Officers and politicians up to and including our Prime Minister, run interference between their accountability and those suffering the adverse events of the policy. There are layers upon layers between us and those who benefit. Equity does not exist, and if there is any restitution, determinations to be found, it will be the ever-suffering taxpayer who foots the bill rather than those who derive massive financial gain from the policy.

Anarchy is what anarchy does. Those stakeholders, through Black Rock, Vanguard and State Street alike, are also never held to account for the outcome of these policies. They are indemnified through limited liability company structures, away from personal responsibility regardless of the hideous results achieved. This lack of equity is the glaring anomaly of world government 'decrees' that are being worked through the Australian bureaucracies, parliaments, and courts alike. There is no relief for injustices occurring. Indemnity is the order of the day for these anarchistic entities.

<https://www.abc.net.au/news/2023-05-09/victorian-government-offers-tower-lockdown-settlement/102319922>

The Victorian government has proposed a \$5 million settlement to public housing tower residents who were subjected to a contentious COVID-19 lockdown.

- 3,000 residents were locked down across nine tower blocks in July 2020
- Residents launched a class action against the government in 2021
- The government is proposing to settle the class action for \$5 million

A Victorian Ombudsman's review released in December 2020 found the state government breached human rights laws when it locked down the public housing towers. The investigation found the temporary lockdown, which was lifted at eight of the nine towers within five days, was warranted. But ombudsman Deborah Glass said the timing of the lockdown was not based on direct public health advice.

ON TARGET

"The rushed lockdown was not compatible with the residents' human rights, including their right to humane treatment when deprived of liberty," she said... **end**

'The Foundations of the Australian Legal System, its History, Theory and Practice'

Precedent as a Source of Law p.282

11.45 By the early 1940s the case-method advanced by Langdell and Holmes (USA-ed) had been more fully developed. It had become the primary legal method adopted in all the American law schools. In Australia, the same approach was advocated by Julius Stone, the influential law professor at the University of Sydney from 1942 to 1972, and then at the University of New South Wales from 1973 to 1985. Prof Stone introduced in Australia the idea of pervasive indeterminacy of the law. This method regards authoritative legal materials as being dependent on 'categories of illusory reference' whereby the materials resorted to provide pre-determined solutions to the problems of choice to be resolved by the courts. Claiming that our legal system is full of indeterminate terms, unresolved contradictions and alternative starting points, Stone then concluded that judges face an inescapable necessity to apply personal choices whenever using the material available in order to apply the law. Because judges, in his opinion, always make personal choices whenever the legal materials are selected, the final outcome of any case is never to be controlled by objective standards but entirely dependent on a judge's own sense of what 'the law' ought to be.

11.46 Prof Stone's teachings about the 'pervasive indeterminacy' of the legal material were carried into the Australian judiciary by some of his more successful students, including the former High Court Justices Anthony Mason, Lionel Murphy, William Dean and Michael Kirby. Stone taught these judges to think of themselves as the ultimate makers of the law. Based on these teachings, Lionel Murphy felt that he could describe his own method of legal adjudication as follows: ***'As judges make the law they are entitled to bring it up-to-date... They should not change it by stealth, they should change it openly and not by small degrees. They should change it as much as they think necessary.'***...

Concluding Comments

11.48 in this treatment of 'precedent', this chapter informs its readers on the meaning of *ratio decidendi*, which concerns the discovery of the reasons for the courts' development of general rules of law. Readers are also introduced to the concept of *stare decisis*, which relates to the binding force of precedents, and *obiter dicta*, which are merely persuasive, but not binding, comments of the court. The chapter also traces the developments of case-law method of teaching promoted in the United States by Dean Langdell of Harvard and Justice Holmes of the (US)

Supreme Court. The case-law method, which is to some appreciable extent (applied-ed) in American and Australian law schools, facilitates the discovery by students and lawyers of the general rules of law and prior judge-made law...

<https://www.thetruthhound.com/2020-global-cities-forum-covid-a-catalyst-to-expand-local-authority-and-usurp-or-subvert-national-powers/>

The recent Mark Anderson article "*Covid Injections 'Tip of the Spear' for Global Cities' Militant Pursuit of 'Equity'*", we need to again clarify the definition of this word 'equity' in use within this article. We must visit an accounting website here: https://www.aasb.gov.au/admin/file/content102/c3/SAC4_3-95.pdf to find the definition in use: "**Equity is the residual interest in the assets of the entity after deduction of its liabilities.**"

This alternative definition of the same word 'equity' is the tip of that spear that world government is pursuing; hence you will own nothing and be happy. They will own everything. Law and property came together at their birth. If we are to own nothing, then law will move into anarchy or totalitarianism which really are the same thing. This world administration operates within the bounds of anarchy, while the general population operates and exists within the bounds of slavery and dictatorship - totalitarianism. It cannot be put starker than this.

World government is world communism.

<https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2023/04/11/most-u-s-bank-failures-have-come-in-a-few-big-waves/>

This consolidation process with the run of bank failures is a demonstration of how they, WHO, WEF, IPCC etc, will get to own everything.

Bank shareholders are losing equity in the value of their shares. Depositors are losing equity in the value of their savings. A tidal wave of home foreclosures is imminent. This phenomenon occurred in 1929 and will again occur. The form will be similar to 1929 with the recall of loans and failure to issue new loans. Homeownership-equity will be lost in a heartbeat. There is no fortune-telling here, rather it is simply observing the tactics and methodology historically utilised and again being implemented.

The confiscation of the entire world's assets (equity) is consolidating in front of our eyes, and the media and governments alike are silent. Media and the money power are concentric, in that they orbit around each other, serving each other's purposes.

Recently the South Australian government has seen fit to move away from the printed 'property title' to a digital form. Should those digital records become lost or the format corrupted, homeowners will find it increasingly difficult to substantiate their claim to ownership. This is not unprecedented, occurring during the period of 'enclosures'. Families who had been tied to 'that land' for many, many generations were evicted onto the roadsides and subsequent poverty. All the building blocks for this to occur again have been put in place.

ON TARGET

If you own property, I advise that you secure the title proper in printed form, and not a digitised version that could be lost. This is not alarmist, but simply practical advice in this age of bureaucratic anarchy.

Karl Marx says in his *Manifesto of the Communist Party* "In this sense, the theory of the Communists may be summed up in the single sentence: Abolition of private property." People are not going to be handing over their private property voluntarily to the communists. This will only happen at gun point once the communists are in power.

There is a clear need to work through the principles surrounding equity - fairness. Whilst reading Zimmerman's book on the Foundations of the Australian Legal System, these pursuits criss-crossed historical paths many times. On my computer I searched for a clear explanation of the circumstances surrounding the use of the word 'equity' and found two areas of particular interest to this discussion.

The work of Ivor Benson *'The Zionist Factor'* with his assessment of *'Shakespeare and the Law of Equity'* to be found here: https://archive.org/stream/benson-ivor-the-zionist-factor_202012/Benson%20Ivor%20-%20The%20Zionist%20Factor_djvu.txt

Benson's assessment of this almost unmentionable subject, draws the conflicting philosophical cleavage out into the open, to the forefront of the readers mind. The two contrasting philosophies are irreconcilable. To suggest that they are one and the same demonstrates a significant opportunity for further scholarship. Judeo-Christian is a non-sense word of confused meaning. Judaism and Christianity are irreconcilable philosophies – the individual-freedom or the group-collectivism. Pilpul is the art of inverted word manipulation. It is openly discussed here by Gilad Atzmon:

<https://gilad.online/writings/2020/5/18/pilpul-for-beginners>

The Law

The history of the 'courts of equity'; called the King's conscience, reinforces the telling need to explore this anomaly of law and equity not being mutually inclusive. As with Shakespeare's play that demonstrated the law as being incomplete only in written language, the courts of equity were brought into being to provide limited relief from the common law in a moral environment. The Laws' intent or purpose is to bring about an ethical and moral result. It took the 'equity courts' to achieve this.

Foundations of the Australian Legal System p.8.

Equity

1.23 The law of equity is particularly found in property law and contract law, and it should be of special interest to those who wish to practice in the law of trusts, an area which has been heavily influenced by equitable principles.

1.24 Equity was born centuries ago in the English Court of Chancery, because of people becoming

dissatisfied with the limited relief that was available to them under the common law. An informal referral process was then adopted, by which the King would refer appearance to the Lord Chancellor.

Under an order of Edward III in 1349, this process was formalised and, by the 15th century, the Court of Chancery was officially established.

1.25 Over many centuries dissatisfied litigants in the common-law courts were allowed to directly petition the Lord Chancellor. As the 'Keeper of the King's Conscience', the Lord Chancellor played a crucial role in the development of legal rights and responsibilities. His main role was 'to ensure that the law enacted in the King's name and administered by the Kings courts was infused with the civilising influence of Canon Law'.

1.26 During the early part of the 17th century, it became established that, where equity and common-law conflict, equity must always prevail. Briefly, however, the enactment of the *Judicature Act* (UK) in 1873 produced the effect of merging these two administrations of law, thus laying down the foundations for the present arrangement that is also currently found in Australia, whereby both systems of law are merged and equity supplements, and controls the rules of common law.

1.27 Where common-law and legislation will seek to punish wrongs or compensate losses, equity seeks primarily to right wrongs and compel, or prevent, action. Consequently, important legal remedies owe their origins to equity, including the injunction, the declaration and the contractual remedy of rescission and specific performance. The modern era of equity is ever-growing, and it can, in certain cases, extend into the realms of damages to provide for both equitable and common-law damages.

Climate and Smart Cities

Seeing wind turbines as carbuncles on the horizon; let's ask who is being held to account for these environmentally hideous monstrosities? The efficiency of a vertical-axial-turbine compared to a horizontal-axial-turbine is the difference between chalk and cheese. And yet these monstrosities are popping up everywhere and the efficiency achieved is questionable. Who benefits financially and who is being held to account for these inefficient visual polluters?

<https://www.asme.org/topics-resources/content/vertical-axis-wind-turbines-work-well-together>

Local-government Mayors and CEOs are doing the bidding of those who derive massive financial gain from these 'sustainable' policies. To build on this theme further, our local Mayor and CEO are now attempting to stifle open discussion, requesting the state government impose new laws against open debate. The principle of equity and who benefits is nowhere to be found. Courts are out of session.

Nuclear

Several weeks ago, I mentioned the collaboration between Russia, Britain, USA and China in regard to small nuclear reactors. The working demonstration of these package and truck-mounted models is only now surfacing in China. The proof of this collaboration and results is available here:

Are We Really At War?

Nonsensical Sustainable Development—Part 1
<https://www.ukcolumn.org/article/are-we-really-at-war-nonsensical-sustainable-development-part-1>

Are We Really At War?

Nonsensical Sustainable Development—Part 2
<https://www.ukcolumn.org/article/are-we-really-at-war-nonsensical-sustainable-development-part-2>

Small portable nuclear reactors could power up to 1,000 households, here's how they work
<https://interestingengineering.com/innovation/meltdown-proof-portable-nuclear-reactors>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j-nMbcf82Rc>

US firm unveils game-changing small nuclear reactor that can power 300,000 homes
<https://interestingengineering.com/innovation/us-firm-unveils-game-changing-small-nuclear-reactor>
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FAiLnKAec6E&t=67s>

The war in Ukraine is to disburse or destroy the population, an ethnic cleansing and nothing more - the pursuit of policy by other means. Why would they wish to disperse or displace the population of an entire nation is the real question that requires further analysis. The fruit of a policy does not fall far from the tree. Cui Bono! We shall all see over time.

'*The Human Rights Swindle*', written by David Thompson, explores the origins or source of 'Rights' being from God alone. When we consider genderism, critical-race-theory, or discrimination, or any of those other commissar driven entities, we easily recognise the deep flaw of injustice, not based on known law, but emotions and vagaries. Commissars as individuals regularly demonstrate how impossible it is to hold them to account for their decisions. Common-law, and more so Canon Law are being flouted whereby 'law by decree' becomes superior. Those entities have only recently come into existence through the United Nations, established by communists as the necessary prelude to world government, all expressions of the same policy perspective of totalitarian-decree, rather than freedom, property, equity and justice within a moral law. Where is the equity in the World Bank Group imposed fine further-crippling already-impooverished Pakistan? : <https://www.trtworld.com/magazine/multi-billion-dollar-fine-on-pakistan-puts-the-spotlight-on-a-secret-court-29141> **Further Reading:**

The 1947 CH Douglas Constitutional Research Association paper here: <https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Douglas%20CH%20-%20Realistic%20Constitutionalism.pdf>

On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org